

Applicant: Mario Meggiolan
Application No.: 10/073,411

REMARKS

In the Action, the Examiner objected to the abstract. In this Reply, the abstract has been replaced to address the objection. The Examiner objected to the abstract because it was not limited to a single paragraph and recited the term "said."

In response, the abstract is replaced with a new abstract consisting of a single paragraph and no recitation of the term "said." In view of the above amendment to the abstract, Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the Examiner's objections to the abstract.

In the Action, the Examiner also objected to the title. In this Reply, the title has been changed to address the objection.

The amended title is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. In view of the amendment to the title, Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the Examiner's objection to the title.

In the Action, the Examiner made final the restriction requirement of claims 34-44 and 51-53. Applicant has cancelled, claims 34 -44 and 51 - 53 without prejudice to the filing of continuing applications. Withdrawal of the restriction requirement is respectfully requested.

Applicant: Mario Meggiolan
Application No.: 10/073,411

Claims 1 - 32, 46 - 50 and 54 - 90 are currently pending in this application. Claims 33-44 and 51-53 have been cancelled. Claims 1, and 46 - 50 have been amended. Claims 54 - 90 are new. No new matter is added.

The Action objected to claims 1 and 46 - 50 for minor informalities. In light of the amendments to claims 1 and 46 - 50, reconsideration and removal of the objections is respectfully requested.

In the Action, claims 1 - 32 and 46 - 50 were rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. Claims 1, and 46-50 were amended to address each of the Section 112 objections. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the Examiner's objections to the claims.

In the Action, claims 1-32 and 46-50 were deemed allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. Claims 1 and 46-50 have been amended to recite "leaving the remaining predetermined number of layers free" essentially incorporating the Examiner's suggestions. By the foregoing Amendment, Applicant believes that claims 1-32 and 46-50 are now allowable.

The newly added claims, 54-90, are believed to be allowable in view of the indication that the subject matter of original claims 1-32 and 46-50 was allowable. No new matter has been added.

Applicant: Mario Meggiolan
Application No.: 10/073,411

In view of the foregoing, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and passing of the application to allowance. If for any reason the Examiner believes that an interview, either telephonically or in person, would advance the prosecution of the application, it is requested that the Examiner call the undersigned to arrange the interview.

Respectfully submitted,

Mario Meggiolan

By *Anthony S. Volpe*
Anthony S. Volpe
Registration No. 28,377
(215) 568-6400

Volpe and Koenig, P.C.
United Plaza, Suite 1600
30 South 17th Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103

ASV/RJB/slp
Enclosure

ABSTRACT

A method for producing a bicycle wheel rim having an inner peripheral wall, an outer peripheral wall, two lateral walls joining said peripheral walls, and two circumferential wings, for anchoring a tyre, is provided. The method providing a rim made of a single part made of structural fibre based material, preferably carbon fibre material.